Table 1-1	Kentucky School Goals	1-1
Table 2-1	Kentucky's Six Learner Goals	2-1
Table 2-2	Academic Expectations for Goal 1: Communication Skills	2-4
Table 2-3	Academic Expectations for Goal 2: Core Academic Concepts	2-5
Table 2-4	Academic Expectations for Goal 5: Think and Solve Problems	2-7
Table 2-5	Academic Expectations for Goal 6: Integrate Knowledge	2-7
Table 2-6	National Assessment of Educational Progress – Participation	2-11
Table 2-7	National Assessment of Educational Progress – Comparison	2-12
Table 2-8	Cycle 3 Components of the KIRIS Assessment, 1995-1998	2-16
Table 3–1a	Distribution of Operational Items across Academic Expectations Elementary – Open-Response	3-4
Table 3–1b	Distribution of Operational Items across Academic Expectations Elementary – Open-Response	3-5
Table 3–2a	Distribution of Operational Items across Academic Expectations Middle – Open-Response	3-6
Table 3–2b	Distribution of Operational Items across Academic Expectations Middle – Open-Response	3-7
Table 3–3a	Distribution of Operational Items across Academic Expectations High – Open-Response	3-8
Table 3–3b	Distribution of Operational Items across Academic Expectations High – Open-Response	3-9
Table 3-4	Distribution of Operational Items across Core Content Elementary – Open-Response	3-11
Table 3-5	Distribution of Operational Items across Core Content Middle – Open-Response	3-12

Table 3-6	Distribution of Items across Core Content High – Open-Response	3-13
Table 3–7	Number of Unique Items Administered across Forms In 1995 through 1998 Elementary	3-17
Table 3–8	Number of Unique Items Administered Across Forms in 1995 through 1998 Middle	3-18
Table 3–9	Number of Unique Items Administered Across Forms in 1995 through 1998 High	3-19
Table 3–10	Number of KIRIS Operational Open-Response Items For Each Subject Per Test Form by Year	3-21
Table 4–1	Major Steps in the Test Development Process	4-2
Table 4–2	Analyses Performed on Open-Response Items	4-3
Table 4–3a	Grade 11 Open-Response Item Analysis for 1998 Reading	4-4
Table 4–3b	Grade 11 Open-Response Item Analysis for 1998 Mathematics	4-5
Table 4–3c	Grade 11 Open-Response Item Analysis for 1998 Science	4-6
Table 4–3d	Grade 11 Open-Response Item Analysis For 1998 Social Studies	4-7
Table 4–3e	Grade 11 Open-Response Item Analysis for 1998 Arts & Humanities	4-8
Table 4–3f	Grade 11 Open-Response Item Analysis for 1998 Practical Living/Vocational Studies	4-9
Table 4–4a	Differential Item Functioning Standardized Mean Differences - Gender Grade 11	4-10
Table 4–4b	Differential Item Functioning Standardized Mean Differences - Gender Grade 11	4-11

Table 4–4c	Differential Item Functioning Standardized Mean Differences - Gender Grade 11	4-12
Table 4–4d	Differential Item Functioning Standardized Mean Differences - Gender Grade 11	4-13
Table 4–4e	Differential Item Functioning – Ethnicity Standardized Mean Differences Grade 11	4-14
Table 4–4f	Differential Item Functioning - Ethnicity Standardized Mean Differences Grade 11	4-15
Table 4–4g	Differential Item Functioning - Ethnicity Standardized Mean Differences Grade 11	4-16
Table 4–4h	Differential Item Functioning – Ethnicity Standardized Mean Differences Grade 11	4-17
Table 4–5a	Open Response Item Analysis Grade 11	4-18
Table 4–5b	Open Response Item Analysis Grade 11	4-19
Table 4–5c	Open Response Item Analysis Grade 11	4-20
Table 4-5d	Open Response Item Analysis Grade 11	4-21
Table 4-6	Percentage of Cases Judged in Confirmation Group to Agree with Standards	4-26
Table 4-7	Percentage of Cases Judged in Replication Group to Agree with Standards	4-27
Table 6-1	Distribution of Item Scores for Open-Response Items, Grade 4	6-4
Table 6-2	Distribution of Corrected Item-Total Correlations for Open-Response Items, Grade 4	6-5
Table 6-3	Distribution of Item-Theta Correlations for Open-Response Items, Grade 4	6-6
Table 6-4	Novice Vs. Apprentice, Proficient, And Distinguished Distribution of Biserial Correlations for Open-Response Items, Grade 4	6-7

Table 6-5	Novice, Apprentice, Vs. Proficient and Distinguished Distribution of Biserial Correlations for Open-Response Items, Grade 4	6-8
Table 6-6	Novice, Apprentice, and Proficient Vs. Distinguished Distribution of Biserial Correlations for Open-Response Items, Grade 4	6-9
Table 6-7	Distribution of Item Scores for Open-Response Items, Grade 8	6-10
Table 6-8	Distribution of Corrected Item-Total Correlations for Open-Response Items, Grade 8	6-11
Table 6-9	Distribution of Item-Theta Correlations for Open-Response Items, Grade 8	6-12
Table 6-10	Novice Vs. Apprentice, Proficient, Distinguished Distribution of Biserial Correlations for Open-Response Items, Grade 8	6-13
Table 6-11	Novice, Apprentice Vs. Proficient, Distinguished Distribution of Biserial Correlations for Open-Response Items, Grade 8	6-14
Table 6-12	Novice, Apprentice, Proficient Vs. Distinguished Distribution of Biserial Correlations for Open-Response Items, Grade 8	6-15
Table 6-13	Distribution of Item Scores for Open-Response Items, Grade 11	6-16
Table 6-14	Distribution of Corrected Item-Total Correlations for Open-Response Items, Grade 11	6-17
Table 6-15	Distribution of Item-Theta Correlations for Open-Response Items, Grade 11	6-18
Table 6-16	Novice Vs. Apprentice, Proficient, Distinguished Distribution of Biserial Correlations for Open-Response Items, Grade 11	6-19
Table 6-17	Novice, Apprentice Vs. Proficient, Distinguished Distribution of Biserial Correlations for Open-Response Items. Grade 11	6-20

Table 6-18	Novice, Apprentice, Proficient Vs. Distinguished Distribution of Biserial Correlations for Open-Response Items, Grade 11	6-21
Table 7-1	Rules for Determining Students for Whom A School Is Accountable	7-2
Table 7-2	Grade 4/5 Students in Each Accountability Eligibility Category	7-5
Table 7-3	Grade7/ 8 Students in Each Accountability Eligibility Category	7-6
Table 7-4	Grade 11/12 Students in Each Accountability Eligibility Category	7-7
Table 7-5	Testing Windows	7-8
Table 7-6	National Norm Referenced Assessment Ctbs/5 Survey Edition	7-11
Table 8-1	Number of Scorers and Training Leaders at Each Grade	8-4
Table 8–2	Profile of Scorer Qualifications and Demographics	8-5
Table 8-3	Reader Monitor Report (Percentages) 1997	8-8
Table 8-4	Reader Monitor Report (Percentages) 1998	8-8
Table 8-5	Inter-Rater Reliability in Scoring of Open-Response Questions	8-10
Table 8-6	Inter-Rater Reliability in Scoring of Open-Response Questions	8-10
Table 8-7	Student-Level Inter-Rater Correlations	8-11
Table 9-1	Calibration Samples	9-6
Table 9-2	Number of Cycles and Maximum of Parameter Change of Final Cycle of Item Parameter Estimation by Year	9-7
Table 9-3a	Equating Results Open Response - Elementary School	9-10
Table 9-3b	Equating Results Open Response - Middle School	9-11
Table 9-3c	Equating Results Open Response - High School	9-12
Table 9-4	Distribution of Student Theta's	9-13
Table 9-5	Example of Computing the Initial Estimates of A&H and PL/VS Item Difficulties	9-14

Table 10-1	KIRIS Accountability Cycle 3 Performance Judgments	10-2
Table 11-1	Statewide Accountability Index Scores by Grade	11-1
Table 11-2	Statewide Accountability Index Scores, By Grade and Region	11-3
Table 11-3	Content Area Index Scores by Grade and Year	11-4
Table 11-4	Statewide Noncognitive Indicators by Grade and Year	11-5
Table 11-5	Gender Percentage Distribution in Accountability Grades	11-7
Table 11-6	Racial/Ethnic Percentage Distribution in Accountability Grades	11-8
Table 11-7	Reading Accommodation by Grade/Year	11-13
Table 11-8	Percentage of Students with Disabilities	11-14
Table 11-9	Reading Accommodations for Disabled Students by Disability by Grade -1995 Grade 4	11-15
Table 11-10	Reading Accommodations for Disabled Students by Disability by Grade - 1995 Grade 8	11-15
Table 11-11	Reading Accommodations for Disabled Students by Disability by Grade - 1995 Grade 11	11-16
Table 11-12	Reading Accommodations for Disabled Students by Disability by Grade – 1996 Grade 4	11-16
Table 11-13	Reading Accommodations for Disabled Students by Disability by Grade – 1996 Grade 8	11-17
Table 11-14	Reading Accommodations for Disabled Students by Disability by Grade – 1996 Grade 11	11-17
Table 11-15	Reading Accommodations for Disabled Students by Disability by Grade – 1997 Grade 4	11-18
Table 11-16	Reading Accommodations for Disabled Students by Disability by Grade – 1997 Grade 5	11-18
Table 11-17	Reading Accommodations for Disabled Students by Disability by Grade – 1997 Grade 7	11-19

Table 11-18	Reading Accommodations for Disabled Students by Disability by Grade – 1997 Grade 8	11-19
Table 11-19	Reading Accommodations for Disabled Students by Disability by Grade – 1997 Grade 11	11-20
Table 11-20	Reading Accommodations for Disabled Students by Disability by Grade – 1998 Grade 4	11-20
Table 11-21	Reading Accommodations for Disabled Students by Disability by Grade – 1998 Grade 5	11-21
Table 11-22	Reading Accommodations for Disabled Students by Disability by Grade - 1998 Grade 7	11-21
Table 11-23	Reading Accommodations for Disabled Students by Disability by Grade - 1998 Grade 8	11-22
Table 11-24	Reading Accommodations for Disabled Students by Disability by Grade – 1998 Grade 11	11-22
Table 12-1	Summary of Cycle 3 Audit Results	12-7
Table 12-2	1995 through 1998 Audits: Levels of Exact Agreement	12-8
Table 12-3	Comparison of Cycle 3 Writing Portfolio Changes to Writing Cognitive Index	12-8
Table 12-4	Statewide Writing Portfolio Mean Scores	12-9
Table 12-5	Instructional Analysis Strengths and Needs (1995 Scoring Analysis)	12-10
Table 12-6	Summary of Agreement between District and Regional Scoring of Alternate Portfolios	12-14
Table 13-1	Open-Response Test Reliabilities Coefficient Alpha by Subject and Grade	13-1
Table 13-2	Estimated Accountability Index Gain Score Standard Error of Measurement For Schools of N = 96 Students	13-5
Table 13-3	Conditional Probabilities Illustrating Accountability Decision Consistency	13-8
Table 14-1	A1 School Title I Participation	14-6

APPENDICES

Table C-1	Kentucky Mathematics Portfolio Pilot Scoring Analysis Grade 5	C-3
Table C-2	Kentucky Mathematics Portfolio Pilot Scoring Analysis Grade 8	C-4
Table C-3	Kentucky Mathematics Portfolio Pilot Scoring Analysis Grade 12	C-5
Table N-1	Spelling Error Rates (Percent)	N-3
Table N-2	Overall Capitalization, Punctuation, and Subject/Verb Agreement Error Rates (Percent)	N-3
Table N-3	Spelling Error Rates by Gender (Percent)	N-3
Table N-4	Overall Capitalization, Punctuation, and Subject/Verb Agreement Error Rates by Gender (Percent)	